arXiv:1003.0237v1 [math.QA] 1 Mar 2010

A $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold theory of lattice vertex operator algebra and $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold constructions

Masahiko Miyamoto
Institute of Mathematics,
University of Tsukuba,
Tsukuba, 305 Japan

1 Introduction

A concept of vertex operator algebras $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ was introduced by Borcherds [1] with a purpose to prove the moonshine conjecture [3] and then as a stage for the conjecture, Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman [12] constructed the moonshine vertex operator algebra $V^\natural$ using a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-orbifold construction from the Leech lattice vertex operator algebra V_Λ. A vertex operator algebra (shortly VOA) is now understood as an algebraic version of a two-dimensional conformal field theory (shortly CFT). Among CFTs, a rational CFT has an important meaning because one can determine all representations precisely, where we interpret "rational" as meaning that it has only completely reducible modules. Therefore, it is important to find new VOAs whose modules are all completely reducible. One way to construct such candidates is an orbifold theory. It is a theory of the fixed point subVOA V^σ given by a finite automorphism σ of V. For example, if all V-modules are completely reducible, then V^σ is expected to have the same property. Furthermore, V has a special module called a twisted module (see [7]), which is a direct sum of V^σ-modules on which V acts as a permutation in a sense. So there is a natural question if every simple V^σ-module appears as a submodule of some σ^j-twisted (or ordinary) V-module. These statement are ambiguously expected to be true [6]. In this paper, we will only treat a simple VOA $V = \oplus_{m=0}^\infty V_m$ of CFT-type with a nonsingular invariant bilinear form, that is, $V_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and V is isomorphic to its restricted dual V'.

Except for the complete reducibility of modules, another important condition for VOAs is C_2-cofiniteness. This is defined by the condition that a subspace

$$C_2(V) := < v_{-2}u \mid v, u \in V >_{\mathbb{C}}$$

of V has a finite co-dimension in V, where v_{-2} denotes a coefficient of vertex operator

$$Y(v, z) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} v_m z^{-1-m} \in \mathrm{End}(V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$$

of $v \in V$ at z. This assumption was introduced by Zhu [24] as a technical condition to prove an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariance property of the space of trace functions of VOAs whose

modules are completely reducible. However, as the author has shown in [16], this is a natural condition from a view point of the representation theory (i.e. it is equivalent to the nonexistence of un-$\mathbb{N}$-gradable modules) and is enough to prove some kind of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariance property. Moreover, the author has recently shown in [17] and [18] that if a C_2-cofinite VOA V satisfies $V' \cong V$, then the fusion products preserves the exactness of sequences, (see Proposition 1 (vii)).

Proving C_2-cofiniteness is not easy, but it is essentially easier than proving rationality (the completely reducibility of all modules). Furthermore, when we want to prove rationality, we usually classify all simple modules at first. However, if V is C_2-cofinite and $V' \cong V$, then it is enough to show that V is projective as a V-module, (see Proposition 1 (vi)). As a corollary, we will prove the following theorem in §3.

Theorem A *Let V be a simple VOA of CFT-type. Assume $V' \cong V$ and all V-modules are completely reducible. If σ is an automorphism of V of finite order and a fixed point subVOA V^σ is C_2-cofinite, then all V^σ-modules are completely reducible. Moreover, every simple V^σ-module appears as a V^σ-submodule of some σ^j-twisted (or ordinary) V-module.*

Let's show examples of orbifold models. For every positive definite even lattice L, there is a VOA V_L associated with L called a lattice VOA. As well known, all V_L-modules are completely reducible [5]. If σ acts on L as -1, then all V_L^σ-modules are classified in [23]. This result relies heavily on a wonderful result done by Dong and Nagatomo [10] about the fixed pointed subVOA of free bosonic Fock space. Unfortunately, there is no such a result for other automorphisms at the present time.

The main object in this paper is an automorphism σ of L of order three. For a special lattice and an automorphism, there is a classification of simple modules [21]. We will treat a general case.

Theorem B *Let L be a positive definite even lattice and V_L a lattice VOA associated with L. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(L)$ of order three. We use the same notation for an automorphism of V_L lifted from σ. Then a fixed point subVOA V_L^σ is C_2-cofinite.*

At the end of this paper, as applications of these results, we will give two $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold constructions as examples. One is a VOA which has the same character as $V^\natural$ does and the other is a new meromorphic $c = 24$ VOA No. 32 in Schellekens' list [20].

Theorem C *Let Λ be a positive definite even unimodular lattice of rank N with an automorphism σ of order three. Let $H = \Lambda^\sigma$ be a fixed point sublattice of Λ and assume that $N - \mathrm{rank}(H)$ is divisible by 3. Then we are able to construct a VOA $\widetilde{V}$ by a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction from a lattice VOA V_Λ.*

2 Preliminary results

2.1 C_2-cofiniteness

In this situation, we will assume C_2-cofiniteness only. All modules in this paper are finitely generated.

Proposition 1 *Let V be a C_2-cofinite VOA. Then we have the followings:*
(i) Every module is $\mathbb{Z}_+$-gradable and weights of modules are in $\mathbb{Q}$, [16].
(ii) The number of inequivalent simple modules is finite, [13],[7].
(iii) Set $V = B + C_2(V)$ for B spanned by homogeneous elements. Then for any module W generated from one element w has a spanning set $\{v^1_{n_1}....v^k_{n_k}w \mid v^i \in B, \quad n_1 < \cdots < n_k\}$. Hence every f.g. V-module is C_n-cofinite for any $n = 1, 2, ...,$ [16],[2],[13].
(iv) Every V-module has a projective cover.
(v) For any V-modules W and U, a fusion product $W \boxtimes U$ is well-defined as f.g. modules.
(vi) If $V \cong V'$, then V is projective if and only if all modules are completely reducible.
(vii) If $V \cong V'$, then for any exact sequence $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ and a module W, $0 \to W \boxtimes A \to W \boxtimes B \to W \boxtimes C \to 0$ is still exact. See [17], [18] *for (iv)$\sim$ (vii).*

2.2 Intertwining operators

For V-modules A, B, C, let $\mathcal{I}^C_{A,B}$ be the set of (logarithmic) intertwining operators of A from B to C. Since V is C_2-cofinite, $\mathcal{Y}$ satisfies a differential equation of regular singular points and so there is $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{Y}$ has a form

$$\mathcal{Y}(a,z) = \sum_{i=0}^{K} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{C}} a_{(n,i)} z^{-n-1} \log^i z \in \mathrm{Hom}(B,C)\{z\}[\log z].$$

We note that $L(0)$ may not be semisimple. Let wt denote the semisimple part of $L(0)$. Set $\mathcal{Y}^{(m)}(a,z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{C}} a_{(n,m)} z^{-n-1}$. From the $L(-1)$-derivative property for $\mathcal{Y}$, we have two important properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}^{(m)}(a,z) = &\quad \frac{1}{m!}(z\frac{d}{dz} - zL(-1))^m \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}(a,z), \qquad \text{and} \\ (i+1)a_{(n,i+1)}b = &\quad -(L(0)-\mathrm{wt})a_{(n,i)}b + ((L(0)-\mathrm{wt})a)_{(n,i)}b + a_{(n,i)}((L(0)-\mathrm{wt})b) \end{aligned}$$

for $b \in B$. In particular, $\mathcal{Y}^{(K)}(*,z)$ is an ordinary intertwining operator (i.e. of formal power series). One more important result is that $(L(0) - \mathrm{wt})W$ is a proper submodule for a V-module $W \neq 0$.

As Huang has shown in [14], for $d' \in D', a \in A, b \in B, c \in C$ and intertwining operators $\mathcal{Y}_1 \in \mathcal{I}^D_{A,E}$, $\mathcal{Y}_2 \in \mathcal{I}^E_{B,C}$, $\mathcal{Y}_3 \in \mathcal{I}^D_{F,C}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_4 \in \mathcal{I}^F_{A,B}$, the formal power series (with logarithmic terms)

$$\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_1(a,x)\mathcal{Y}_2(b,y)c\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle d', \mathcal{Y}_3(\mathcal{Y}_4(a,x-y)b,y)c\rangle$$

are absolutely convergent when $|x| > |y| > 0$ and $|y| > |x-y| > 0$, respectively, and can all be analytically extended to multi-valued analytic functions on

$$M^2 = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid xy(x-y) \neq 0\}.$$

As he did, we are able to lift them to single-valued analytic functions

$$E(\langle d, \mathcal{Y}_1(a,x)\mathcal{Y}_2(b,y)c\rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad E(\langle d, \mathcal{Y}_3(\mathcal{Y}_4(a,x-y)b,y)c\rangle)$$

on the universal covering $\widetilde{M^2}$ of M^2. As he remarked, single-valued liftings are not unique, but the existence of such functions is enough for our arguments. The important fact is that if we fix A, B, C, D, then these functions are given as solutions of the same differential equations. Therefore, for $\mathcal{Y}_1 \in \mathcal{I}^D_{A,E}, \mathcal{Y}_2 \in \mathcal{I}^E_{B,C}$ there are $\mathcal{Y}_5 \in \mathcal{I}^D_{A\boxtimes B,C}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_6 \in \mathcal{I}^D_{B,A\boxtimes C}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{c} E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_1(a,x)\mathcal{Y}_2(b,y)c\rangle) = E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_5(\mathcal{Y}^{\boxtimes}_{A,B}(a,x-y)b,y)c\rangle) \quad \text{and} \\ E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_1(a,x)\mathcal{Y}_2(b,y)c\rangle) = E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_6(b,y)\mathcal{Y}^{\boxtimes}_{A,C}(a,x)c\rangle), \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{Y}^{\boxtimes}_{A,B}$ denotes an intertwining operator to define a fusion product $A \boxtimes B$.

2.3 VOA whose modules are all completely reducible

In this subsection, we will explain very important known properties of a simple C_2-cofinite VOA V whose modules are all completely reducible and $V \cong V'$. Let N be the central charge of V and $\{V \cong W^0, \cdots, W^s\}$ the set of all simple V-modules.

2.3.1 Zhu's modular invariance property

For $v \in V_m$ with $L(1)v = 0$, we consider a trace function

$$T_{W^i}(v;\tau) = \mathrm{Tr}_{W^i} o(v) q^{L(0)-N/24},$$

where $q = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau}$ and $o(v) = v_{\mathrm{wt}(v)-1}$ is a grade-preserving operator of v on W^i. In particular, a trace function of the vacuum $\mathbf{1} \in V$

$$T_{W^i}(\mathbf{1};\tau) = q^{-N/24} \sum_m \dim(W^i_m) q^m$$

is called a character of $W^i = \oplus_m W^i_m$ and we denote it by $\mathrm{ch}(W^i)$. As Zhu has shown in [24], these functions are well-defined in the upper half plane $\mathcal{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$. A wonderful property of these VOAs is an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariance property. Namely, there are $s_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ which does not depend on v such that

$$(1/\tau)^{\mathrm{wt}(v)} T_{W^i}(v; -1/\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{s} s_{ij} T_{W^j}(v;\tau).$$

We will call the transformation in the left side an S-transformation of T_{W^i} and then the matrix $S = (s_{ij})_{i,j=0,\ldots,s}$ an S-matrix of V.

2.3.2 Dong, Li and Mason's modular invariance property

Dong, Li and Mason [7] extended the above result to the case where they also consider an automorphism σ of order n. For $g, h \in < \sigma >$, they introduced a concept of g-twisted

h-stable modules W. Let's explain a σ-twisted module briefly. See [7] for the detail. Decompose

$$V = \oplus_{i=0}^{n-1} V^{(i)}, \quad \text{where } V^{(i)} = \{v \in V \mid \sigma(v) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}i/n}v\}.$$

Clearly, $V^{(0)}$ is a subVOA. A simple σ-twisted module W has a grading $W = \oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} W_{r+m/n}$ such that every $W^{(i)} = \oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{r+i/n+k}$ is a simple V-module, where W_t denotes the space consisting of elements of weight t. Furthermore, there is $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$v_t(W^{(i)}) \subseteq W^{(i+rp)}$$

for $v \in V^{(p)}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Q}$, where we consider $W^{(k)} = W^{(h)}$ if $k \equiv h \pmod n$. We will call $W^{(i)}$ a $V^{(0)}$-sector of W. On the other hand, the definition of h-stable modules W is $h \circ W \cong W$ as V-modules, where $v_n(h \circ w) = h \circ (h(v))_n w$ for $w \in W$. This implies the existence of an endomorphism $\phi(h)$ of W such that $\phi(h)^{-1} v_n \phi(h) = (h(v))_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in V$. For such a module, they consider a trace function

$$T_W(h, v; \tau) = \mathrm{Tr}_W \phi(h) o(v) e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\tau - c/24)}$$

of $\phi(h)o(v)$ on a g-twisted h-stable module W for $v \in V_m$ with $L(1)v = 0$. Then they have shown that for $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ e & f \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, A-transformation

$$(e\tau + f)^{-m} T_W(h, v; \frac{a\tau + b}{e\tau + f})$$

of $T_W(h, v; \tau)$ is a linear sum of trace functions of $\phi(g^a h^e)o(v)$ on $g^a h^e$-stable $g^b h^f$-twisted modules under the assumption that all twisted modules are completely reducible. In particular, $(\frac{1}{\tau})^m T_V(\sigma, v; -1/\tau)$ is a linear sum of trace functions of $o(v)$ on σ-twisted modules.

An interesting case is that V has exactly one simple module V. In this case, V has only one simple σ^i-twisted module $V(\sigma^i)$ for each i and so they are all σ^j-stable. If the weights of elements in $V(\sigma^i)$ are in $\mathbb{Z}/n$, then a homomorphism $\phi(\sigma)$ for a σ-stable module is given by $\phi(\sigma) = e^{2\pi r\sqrt{-1}L(0)}$ since $v_t(W^{(i)}) \subseteq W^{(i+rp)}$ for $v \in V^{(p)}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2.3.3 Moose-Seiberg and Huang's Verlinde formula

By the assumption, $W^i \boxtimes W^j$ decomposes into the direct sum of simple modules:

$$W^i \boxtimes W^j \cong \oplus_k (\underbrace{W^k \oplus \cdots \oplus W^k}_{N_{i,j}^k}).$$

We call N_{ij}^k a fusion rule. A mysterious property of C_2-cofinite VOA whose modules are all completely reducible is a relation between the fusion rules and the entries of the S-matrix of V, which was mentioned by Verlinde [22] and proved by Moose-Seiberg [19] and Huang [15]. For example, the following is Corollary 5.4 in [15].

Theorem *Let V be a C_2-cofinite VOA of CFT-type and assume that $V \cong V'$ and all modules are completely reducible. Then S is symmetric and the square S^2 is a permutation matrix which shifts i to i', where $W^0 = V$ and $W^{k'} = (W^k)'$. Moreover, we have:*

$$N_{i,j}^k = \sum_{h=0}^{s} \frac{S_{ih}S_{jh}S_{hk'}}{S_{0h}} \qquad \text{(Verlinde Formula).}$$

3 Proof of Theorem A

Let σ be an automorphism of V of order n and set $V^{(i)} = \{v \in V \mid \sigma(v) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}i/n}v\}$. Then $V = \oplus_{i=0}^{n-1} V^{(i)}$ and $V^{(0)}$ is a subVOA of V and $V^{(i)}$ are all simple $V^{(0)}$-modules. We use $v^{(i)}$ to denote an element in $V^{(i)}$ and set $U = V^{(0)}$.

We will first show that U-modules are completely reducible. It is enough to show that U is projective as a U-module by Proposition 1 (vi). Suppose false and let $0 \to B \to P \xrightarrow{\phi} U \to 0$ be a non-split extension of U. Let $q \in P$ such that $\phi(q) = \mathbf{1} \in U$.

Viewing V as a U-module, we define a fusion product $W = V \boxtimes_U P$ and let $I^{\boxtimes P} \in \mathcal{I}_{V,P}^{V\boxtimes P}$ and $I^{\boxtimes B} \in \mathcal{I}_{V,B}^{V\boxtimes B}$ be an intertwining operator to define $V \boxtimes_U P$ and its restriction to B, respectively. We may assume $I^{\boxtimes P}(\mathbf{1}, z)p = p$ for $p \in P$. We set $W^{(i)} = V^{(i)} \boxtimes_U P$. We note $W = W^0 \oplus \cdots \oplus W^{(n-1)}$ and $W^0 = P$. Similarly, we set $R = V \boxtimes_U B$ and $R^i = V^{(i)} \boxtimes_U B$. By the flatness property, R^i is a submodule of W^i for each i.

As we explained in §2.2, there is $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{I}_{V,W}^{W}$ such that

$$E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(v, z_1)I^{\boxtimes P}(w, z_2)p\rangle) = E(\langle w', I^{\boxtimes P}(Y(v, z_1 - z_2)w, z_2)p\rangle)$$

for $v, w \in V$, $w' \in W'$ and $p \in P$. From the definition of $\mathcal{Y}$ and the Commutativity of vertex operators of V, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(v^1, z_1)\mathcal{Y}(v^2, z_2)I^{\boxtimes P}(w, z)p\rangle) &= E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(v^1, z_1)I^{\boxtimes P}(Y(v^2, z_2 - z)w, z)p\rangle) \\ &= E(\langle w', I^{\boxtimes P}(Y(v^1, z_1 - z)Y(v^2, z_2 - z)w, z)p\rangle) \\ &= E(\langle w', I^{\boxtimes P}(Y(v^2, z_2 - z)Y(v^1, z_1 - z)w, z)p\rangle) \\ &= E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(v^2, z_2)\mathcal{Y}(v^1, z_1)I^{\boxtimes P}(w, z)p\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

for $v^1, v^2 \in V$, which implies the Commutativity of $\{\mathcal{Y}(v, z) \mid v \in V\}$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(v^1, z_1)\mathcal{Y}(v^2, z_2)I^{\boxtimes P}(w, z)p\rangle) &= E(\langle w', I^{\boxtimes P}(Y(v^1, z_1 - z)Y(v^2, z_2 - z)w, z)p\rangle) \\ &= E(\langle w', I^{\boxtimes P}(Y(Y(v^1, z_1 - z_2)v^2, z_2 - z)w, z)p\rangle) \\ &= E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(Y(v^1, z_1 - z_2)v^2, z_2)I^{\boxtimes P}(w, z)p\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, taking $w = \mathbf{1}$, we obtain $\mathcal{Y}(v, z)p = I^{\boxtimes P}(v, z)p$ for $v \in V, p \in P$ since

$$\begin{aligned} E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(v, z_1)p\rangle) &= E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(v, z_1)I^{\boxtimes P}(\mathbf{1}, z_2)p\rangle) = E(\langle w', I^{\boxtimes P}(Y(v, z_1 - z_2)\mathbf{1}, z_2)p\rangle) \\ &= E(\langle w', I^{\boxtimes P}(e^{(z_1-z_2)L(-1)}v, z_2)p\rangle) = E(\langle w', I^{\boxtimes P}(v, z_2 + z_1 - z_2)p\rangle) \\ &= E(\langle w', I^{\boxtimes P}(v, z_1)p\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of $\mathcal{Y}$, $V \boxtimes_U B$ is a $\mathcal{Y}$-invariant submodule and $\mathcal{Y}(v^{(i)}, z)W^j \subseteq W^{i+j}\{z\}[\log z]$ for $v^{(i)} \in V^{(i)}$.

We will assert one general result.

Proposition 2 *$V^{(i)}$ is a simple current as a $V^{(0)}$-module, that is, $V^{(i)} \boxtimes_{V^{(0)}} D$ is simple for any simple $V^{(0)}$-module D.*

[**Proof**] Set $Q = V \boxtimes_U D$ and $Q^{(i)} = V^{(i)} \boxtimes_U D$. For Q, we will use the same arguments as above. Suppose that $Q^{(i)}$ contains a proper submodule S. Then $S^{\perp} \cap (Q^{(i)})' \neq 0$ and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}(v^{(i)}, z_1)\mathcal{Y}(v^{(n-i)}, z)s\rangle) &= E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}(Y(v^{(i)}, z_1 - z)v^{(n-i)}, z)s\rangle) \\ &= E(\langle d', Y(Y(v^{(i)}, z_1 - z)v^{(n-i)}, z)s\rangle) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $d' \in S^{\perp}$ and $s \in S$ since $Y(v^{(i)}, z)v^{(n-i)} \in U\{z\}[\log z]$. On the other hand, since $E(\langle q', \mathcal{Y}(v^{(i)}, z_1)\mathcal{Y}(v^{(n-i)}, z)s\rangle) = E(\langle q', \mathcal{Y}(Y(v^{(i)}, z_1 - z)v^{(n-i)}, z)s\rangle) \neq 0$ for some $q' \in (Q^{(i)})', v^{(i)} \in V^{(i)}$ and $v^{(n-i)} \in V^{(n-i)}$, the coefficients in $\{\mathcal{Y}(v^{(n-i)}, z)s \mid s \in S, v^{(n-i)} \in V^{(n-i)}\}$ spans D and so those in $\{\mathcal{Y}(v^{(i)}, z_1)\mathcal{Y}(v^{(n-i)}, z)s \mid v^{(i)} \in V^{(i)}, v^{(n-i)} \in V^{(n-i)}\}$ spans $Q^{(i)}$. Therefore, we have a contradiction. ∎

Let's go back to the proof of Theorem A. We note that $R^{(i)} = V^{(i)} \boxtimes_U B$ is simple by the above proposition. If some $R^{(i)}$ does not have integer weights, say, $R^{(1)}$, then $W^1 = V^{(1)} \oplus R^{(1)}$ as U-modules. By the same arguments as above, the space spanned by the coefficients in $\{\mathcal{Y}(v^{(n-1)}, z)V^{(1)} \mid v^{(n-1)} \in V^{(n-1)}\}$ is a nonzero U-submodule of P, but does not contain B, which contradicts the choice of P.

We have hence obtained that all elements in R and W have integer weights. If $\mathcal{Y}(v, z)$ has no $\log z$-terms, then $\mathcal{Y}(v, z)$ is an integer power series and so the above Commutativity implies that W is a V-module. However, since all V-modules are completely reducible, $0 \to V \boxtimes B \to V \boxtimes P \to V$ has to split and so does $0 \to B \to P \to U \to 0$, which contradicts the choice of P.

Therefore, $\mathcal{Y}(v, z)$ has $\log z$-terms for some $v \in V$. Then $(L(0) - \mathrm{wt})W \neq 0$ and so $(L(0) - \mathrm{wt})W = R$. Then $R \cong W/R \cong V$ as V-modules and so $\mathcal{Y}$ has a form

$$\mathcal{Y}(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_{n,1} z^{-n-1} \log z$$

on W with $I(v, z) := \sum v_{n,1} z^{-n-1} \in \mathcal{I}^V_{V,V}$. By the above arguments, $I(*, z)$ is an intertwining operator of V from $W/R \cong V$ to $R \cong V$ and so $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{1}, z) = 1 + \lambda(L(0) - \mathrm{wt}) \log z$ for some $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, which contradicts the fact that P is a U-module.

The remaining thing is to show the following:

Lemma 3 *Every simple U-module appears in a σ^j-twisted (or ordinary) V-module as a U-submodule for some j.*

[**Proof**] Let $\{W^0, ..., W^s\}$ be the set of all simple U-modules. For $u \in U_m$ with $L(1)u = 0$, let's consider trace functions

$$T_V(\sigma^i, u; \tau) = \mathrm{Tr}_V \sigma^i o(u) q^{L(0) - c/24}.$$

Then, by a modular invariance property of the space of trace functions on twisted modules [7], $(1/\tau)^m T_U(\sigma^i, u; -1/\tau)$ is a linear combination of trace functions on σ^i-twisted modules. On the other hand, we clearly have $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T_V(\sigma^i, u; \tau) = nT_U(u; \tau)$ and Theorem 5.5 in [15] implies that there are $0 \neq \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, s$ such that

$$(1/\tau)^m T_U(u; -1/\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} \lambda_i T_{W^i}(u; \tau).$$

As Zhu has shown in [24], $\{T_{W^i} \mid i = 1, \ldots, s\}$ is a linear independent set, the above fact implies that the space spanned by U-composition factors of (twisted) V-modules covers all simple U-modules. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

4 Proof of Theorem B

We will show that V_L^σ is C_2-cofinite for any triality automorphism σ of L. Abusing the notation, we use the same notation to denote an automorphism of V_L lifted from σ.

4.1 Preliminary results

Let's explain the definition of lattice VOA V_L, but we will give only necessary properties for the proof of Theorem B. See [12] for the precise definition. We first reduce the case. One advantage of proving C_2-cofiniteness is unexacting. For example, it is enough to show that V_H^σ is C_2-cofinite for some σ-invariant full-sublattice H of L, since V_L^σ is a V_H^σ-module with a composition series of finite length, see Proposition 1.

Since every lattice VOA is C_2-cofinite and $V^1 \otimes V^2$ is C_2-cofinite when the both V^i are C_2-cofinite, it is enough to prove the C_2-cofiniteness of V_L^σ for $L = \mathbb{Z}x + \mathbb{Z}y$ with $y = \sigma(x)$ and $-x - y = \sigma^2(x)$. By taking a sublattice, we may assume that $\langle x, x\rangle = -2\langle x, y\rangle = 18M > 72$ and M is even.

Let's show a construction of a lattice VOA V_L for $L = \mathbb{Z}x + \mathbb{Z}y$. Using a 2-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}L = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ with a nonsingular bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, we first define a VOA $M_2(1) := S(\mathbb{C}L \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]t^{-1})$ of free bosonic Fock space as follows: Consider $\mathbb{C}L$ as a commutative Lie algebra with a non-degenerated symmetric bilinear form, we construct the corresponding affine Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}L[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}$ with a product

$$[v \otimes t^n, u \otimes t^m] = \delta_{n+m,0} n \langle v, u\rangle,$$

for $v, u \in \mathbb{C}L$. Hereafter we use $v(n)$ to denote $v \otimes t^n$. We then consider its universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathbb{C}L[t, t^{-1}])$. It has a commutative subalgebra $U(\mathbb{C}L[t])$. Using a one dimensional $U(\mathbb{C}L[t])$-module $\mathbb{C}e^\gamma$ with

$$\mu(0)e^\gamma = \langle \mu, \gamma\rangle e^\gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(n)e^\gamma = 0 \text{ for } n > 0$$

for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}L$, we define a $U(\mathbb{C}L[t, t^{-1}])$-module:

$$M_2(1)e^\gamma := U(\mathbb{C}L[t, t^{-1}]) \otimes_{U(\mathbb{C}L[t])} \mathbb{C}e^\gamma.$$

As vector spaces, $M_2(1)e^\gamma \cong S(\mathbb{C}L[t^{-1}]t^{-1})$ a symmetric tensor algebra. Since $L = \mathbb{Z}x + \mathbb{Z}y$, $M_2(1)e^\gamma$ is spanned by

$$\{x(-i_1)\cdots x(-i_k)y(-j_1)\cdots y(-j_h)e^\gamma \mid i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_k > 0, j_1 \geq \cdots \geq j_h > 0\}$$

and we define its weight by $\sum i_s + \sum j_t + \frac{\langle\gamma,\gamma\rangle}{2}$.

Forgetting about the vertex operators, a lattice VOA associated with L is defined as a vector space

$$V_L = \oplus_{\gamma\in L} M_2(1)e^\gamma.$$

We introduce an $\mathbb{N}$-gradation on $V_L = \oplus_{m\in\mathbb{N}}(V_L)_m$ by weights and let $(V_L)_m$ be the space of elements with weight m. We next define a vertex operator $Y(u,z) = \sum u_m z^{-m-1} \in \mathrm{End}(V_L)[[z,z^{-1}]]$ of $u \in V_L$ as follows:
First, a vertex operator of $v(-1)e^0$ for $v \in \mathbb{C}L$ and that of e^γ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Y(v(-1)e^0,z) :&= \textstyle\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v(n)z^{-n-1} \qquad \text{and} \\ Y(e^\gamma,z) :&= E^-(-\gamma,z)E^+(-\gamma,z)e^\gamma z^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &E^\pm(\gamma,z) = \textstyle\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!}(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\gamma(\pm n)}{\pm n} z^{\mp n})^n \in \mathrm{End}(V_L)[[z^{\mp 1}]], \\ &e^\gamma e^\mu = e^{\gamma+\mu} \qquad \text{and} \qquad z^\gamma e^\mu = z^{\langle\gamma,\mu\rangle} e^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The vertex operators of other elements are inductively defined by using normal products

$$(v(-m)u)_n = \sum_{i=0}^\infty (-1)^i \binom{-m}{i} \{v(-m-i)u_{n+i} - (-1)^m u_{-m+n-i}v(i)\} \tag{2}$$

for $v \in \mathbb{C}L$ and $u \in M_n(1)e^\gamma$, where $\binom{-m}{i} = \frac{(-m)(-m-1)\cdots(-m-i+1)}{i!}$, and then we extend them linearly. We will frequently use this normal product development (2).

From now on, we use notation $\mathbf{1}$ to denote e^0 and call it a Vacuum. Important properties of the Vacuum $\mathbf{1}$ are $v_n\mathbf{1}$=0 and $v_{-1}\mathbf{1} = v$ for any $n \geq 0$ and $v \in V$. We also denote $M_n(1)e^0$ by $M_n(1)$. Let $\{x^*, y^*\}$ be a dual basis of $\mathbb{C}L$ for $\{x, y\}$. Then we have a Virasoro element $\omega = \frac{1}{2}\{x(-1)x^*(-1)\mathbf{1} + y(-1)y^*(-1)\mathbf{1}\}$. We denote the operator ω_m by $L(m-1)$. Important properties of a Virasoro element are

$$(L(-1)v)_m = -mv_{m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad L(0)v = \mathrm{wt}(v)v.$$

For a VOA V and its module W, we set:

$$\begin{aligned} &C_m(V) := < v_{-m}u \mid v, u \in \oplus_{m\geq 1} V_m >_{\mathbb{C}} \qquad \text{and} \\ &C_2(V)W := < v_{-2}w \mid v \in V, w \in W >_{\mathbb{C}} . \end{aligned}$$

4.2 In the free bosonic Fock space

Viewing $\mathbb{C}L$ as a $\mathbb{C}[\sigma]$-module, we have $\mathbb{C}L = \mathbb{C}a \oplus \mathbb{C}a'$ with $\sigma(a) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3}a$ and $\sigma(a') = e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3}a'$ and $\langle a, a'\rangle = 1$. Then $u = a(-i_1)\cdots a(-i_h)a'(-j_1)\cdots a'(-j_k)\mathbf{1}$ is σ-invariant if and only if $h - k \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. We note that $\omega = a(-1)a' = a'(-1)a$ is the Virasoro element. Set

$$\mathcal{P}_k = U(\mathbb{C}L[t,t^{-1}])\mathbb{C}L[t]t^k,$$

which are left ideals of $U(\mathbb{C}L[t,t^{-1}])$. Then $\mathcal{P}_0 e^0 = 0$ and $\mathcal{P}_1 e^\gamma = 0$ for $\gamma \in L$. From now on, $\equiv$ denotes a congruence relation modulo $C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma)M_2(1)$. First of all, we will note the following lemma which comes from the normal product (2).

Lemma 4 *Let $k = 1$ or 2 and $v \in M_2(1)$. For any $n, m > 0$, there are $\lambda_{s,t} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that*

$$a(-n)a'(-m)v - \sum_{s,t>0} \lambda_{s,t}(a(-s)a'(-t)\mathbf{1})_{-k}v \in \mathcal{P}_{1-k}v.$$

For any $l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq k$, there are $\lambda_{s,t,u} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$a(-l)a(-m)a(-n)v - \sum \lambda_{s,t,u}(a(-s)a(-t)a(-u)\mathbf{1})_{-k}v \in \mathcal{P}_{1-k}.$$

[**Proof**] We will prove only the second case. Since

$$\begin{array}{rl} (a(-i)\gamma)_{-k}v = & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-i}{j}(-1)^j\{a(-i-j)\gamma_{-k+i} - (-1)^i\gamma_{-i-k-j}a(j)\}v \\ \in & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-i}{j}(-1)^j a(-i-j)\gamma_{-k+i}v + \mathcal{P}_0 v, \end{array}$$

there are $\lambda_i, \lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{l} (a(-s)a(-t)a(-u)\mathbf{1})_{-k} \in \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i a(-s-i)\{a(-t)a(-u)\mathbf{1}\}_{-k+i} + \mathcal{P}_0 \\ \quad = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{i,j} a(-s-i) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a(-t-j)\{a(-u)\mathbf{1}\}_{-k+i+j} + \mathcal{P}_0 \\ \quad = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{i,j} a(-s-i) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a(-t-j)a(-u-k+1+i+j) + \mathcal{P}_0. \end{array}$$

We hence have $a(-l)a(-m)a(-n)v - (a(-l)a(-m)a(-n+k-1)\mathbf{1})_{-k}v$ is equivalent to a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of

$$\{a(-s)a(-t)a(-u)v \quad \text{with } 0 < u < n, s \geq l, t \geq m\}$$

modulo $\mathcal{P}_{-k+1}v$. Iterating these steps, we can reduce them to the case where $u < k$. ∎

We next explain an expression, which we will use. As a spanning set of V_L^σ, we usually use elements of the form

$$\mu = \sum_{t=0}^{2} \sigma^t(a(-i_1)\cdots a(-i_h)a'(-j_1)\cdots a'(-j_k)e^\gamma) \qquad \text{with } i_s, j_t > 0,$$

but we will permit to use $a(0)$ and $a'(0)$ so that

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\langle a,\gamma\rangle^s\langle b,\gamma\rangle^t} a(-i_1)\cdots a(-i_h)a'(-j_1)\cdots a'(-j_k)a(0)^s a'(0)^t(\sum_{i=0}^{2} e^{\sigma^i(\gamma)}), \qquad (3)$$

where $a(-i_1)\cdots a(-i_h)a'(-j_1)\cdots a'(-j_k)a(0)^s a'(0)^t$ is $\langle\sigma\rangle$-invariant and $a'(m)^n$ denotes $\underbrace{a'(m)\cdots a'(m)}_{n}$. From now on, E^γ denotes $\sum_{i=0}^{2} e^{\sigma^i(\gamma)}$ and we will call h and k the numbers of a-terms and a'-terms, respectively.

4.3 Modulo $C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma)$

For $u = a(-i_1)\cdots a(-i_h)a'(-j_1)\cdots a'(-j_k)\mathbf{1}$, if at least one of $\{i_s, j_t \mid s = 1, ..., h, t = 1, ..., k\}$ is not 1, then we call u a weight loss element. Set

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \{a(-1)^i a'(-1)^j\mathbf{1}, a(-i)a(-j)a, a'(-i)a'(-j)a', a(-i)a'(-1)^2a, a(-i)a', \mathbf{1} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Proposition 5 *Let $u = a(-i_1)\cdots a(-i_h)a'(-j_1)\cdots a'(-j_k)\mathbf{1}$ be a weight loss element.*
If $|h-k| \geq 4$, then $u \in C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma)$.
If $h-k = 3$, then $u \in < a(-i_1)a(-i_2)a \mid i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{N} >_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathbb{C}_2(M_2(1)^\sigma)$.
If $h = k$, then $u \in < a(-\mathrm{wt}(u)+3)a'(-1)^2a, a(-\mathrm{wt}(u)+1)a' >_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathbb{C}_2(M_2(1)^\sigma)$.
In particular, we have $M_2(1)^\sigma = C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma) + < \mathcal{S}_2 >_{\mathbb{C}}$.

[**Proof**] We will prove the last statement. The others come from the same arguments. We first note that $\omega_0\beta = \beta_{-2}\mathbf{1} \in C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma)$ for $\beta \in M_2(1)^\sigma$ and $a(-h)a(-k)a(-m)\mathbf{1}$ is congruent to a linear sum of elements of type $a(-i)a(-j)a$ since $\omega_0(a(-r_1)\cdots a(-r_k)\mathbf{1}) = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i a(-r_1)\cdots a(-r_i-1)\cdots a(-r_k)\mathbf{1}$. Suppose $h-k \geq 4$ and $u \notin C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma) + < \mathcal{S}_2 >_{\mathbb{C}}$. We take u such that the total number $h+k$ is minimal. At least one of i_s, j_t is not 1. Since $h \geq 4$, by using suitable triple terms of a, we may assume $i_1 = 1$ by Lemma 4. Then by choosing other suitable triple a-terms, we may also assume $i_2 = 1$ and then $i_3 = 2$. Then

$$2u - (a(-1)a(-1)a)_{-2}a(-i_4)\cdots a(-i_h)a'(-i_1)\cdots a'(-i_k)\mathbf{1}$$

is congruent to a linear sum of elements with the total number of terms is less than $h+k$, which contradicts the choice of u. We next treat the case $h-k = 3$. By applying the same arguments to $a(-n)a'(-m)$, we can reduce to the case $h = 3$ and $k = 0$ as we desired. If $h = k$ and $h \geq 3$, then using the same argument as above, we can reduce to $u = a(-n)a'(-m)a(-1)a'(-1)$ and $n \geq 2$. If $m \geq 2$, then u is congruent to a linear sum of $a(-n-m+1)a'(-1)^2a$ and $a(-n-m-1)a'$ by Lemma 4. Therefore we obtain $M_2(1)^\sigma = C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma) + < \mathcal{S}_2 >_{\mathbb{C}}$. ∎

4.4 A subring

We note that $M_2(1)^\sigma/C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma)$ is a commutative ring with the -1-normal product since $[\alpha_{-1}, \beta_{-1}] = \sum_{i=0}^\infty (-1)^i(\alpha_i\beta)_{-2-i}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in V_L$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the subspace of $M_2(1)^\sigma/C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma)$ spanned by elements with the same number of a-terms and b-terms and $\mathcal{O}^{even}$ the subspace of $\mathcal{O}$ spanned by elements with even weights. Clearly, $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{even}$ are subrings of $M_2(1)^\sigma/C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma)$ since $\mathrm{wt}(\alpha_{-1}\beta) = \mathrm{wt}(\alpha) + \mathrm{wt}(\beta)$. Let's study an algebraic structure of $\mathcal{O}^{even}$.

Set $\gamma(n) = a(-n+1)a'$. To simplify the notation, we sometimes omit subscript -1 denoting -1-normal product, for example, $\gamma(n)\gamma(m)$ denotes $\gamma(n)_{-1}\gamma(m)$. From $0 \equiv \omega_0(a(-n)a'(-m)\mathbf{1}) = na(-n-1)a'(-m)\mathbf{1} + ma(-n)a'(-m-1)\mathbf{1}$, we have:

Lemma 6 $$a(-n)a'(-m-1)\mathbf{1} \equiv \binom{-n}{m}\gamma(n+m+1) \pmod{\omega_0 V_L} \tag{4}$$

Proposition 7

$$a(-r)a(-m)a'(-n)a' \equiv \binom{-m}{n-1}\gamma(r+1)\gamma(m+n) - \frac{(-1)^{n-1}(r+m+n-1)!(m+n+r+1)}{(r-1)!(m-1)!(n-1)!(m+1)(r+n)}\gamma(t)$$

modulo $\omega_0 V_L$, *where* $t = r+m+n+1$. *In particular, by replacing* r *with* m, *we have*

$$\gamma(n+3) \equiv \frac{6}{(n-1)(n-2)(n+3)}\{\gamma(3)_{-1}\gamma(n) - (n-1)\gamma(2)_{-1}\gamma(n+1)\}$$

for $n \geq 3$ *and so* $\gamma(n) \in C_1(M_2(1)^\sigma)$ *for* $n \geq 6$.

[**Proof**] The assertion comes from the direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\binom{-m}{n-1}\gamma(r+1)\gamma(m+n) \equiv (a(-r)a')_{-1}a(-m)a'(-n)\mathbf{1}\\
&\equiv \sum_i \binom{-r}{i}(-1)^i\{a(-r-i)a'(-1+i) - (-1)^{-r}a'(-r-1-i)a(i)\}a(-m)a'(-n)\mathbf{1}\\
&\equiv a(-r)a'(-1)a(-m)a'(-n)\mathbf{1} + \binom{r+m}{m+1}ma(-r-m-1)a'(-n)\mathbf{1}\\
&\qquad - (-1)^r\binom{r+n-1}{n}a'(-r-1-n)na(-m)\mathbf{1}\\
&\equiv a(-r)a(-m)a'(-n)a' + \{\binom{r+m}{m+1}m\binom{-r-m-1}{n-1} - (-1)^n\binom{r+n-1}{n}n\binom{m+r+n-1}{r+n}\}\gamma(t)\\
&\equiv a(-r)a(-m)a'(-n)a' + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}(r+m+n-1)!(m+r+n+1)}{(r-1)!(m-1)!(n-1)!(m+1)(r+n)}\gamma(t).
\end{aligned}$$

∎

For example, we will use the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
2\gamma(6) &\equiv \gamma(3)\gamma(3) - 2\gamma(2)\gamma(4), \qquad & 7\gamma(7) &\equiv \gamma(3)\gamma(4) - 3\gamma(2)\gamma(5),\\
16\gamma(8) &\equiv \gamma(3)\gamma(5) - 4\gamma(2)\gamma(6), \qquad & 30\gamma(8) &\equiv \gamma(4)\gamma(4) - 6\gamma(2)\gamma(6).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8 $\mathcal{O} =< \gamma(2)^n, \gamma(n+1), \gamma(2)\gamma(m)\mathbf{1} \mid n, m = 2, \ldots >_{\mathbb{C}}$.

[**Proof**] By Proposition 5, $\mathcal{O}$ is spanned by $\{a(-1)^n a'(-1)^n\mathbf{1}, a(-n)a'(-1)^2 a, \gamma(m)\}$. By Proposition 7, we get $a(-n)a'(-1)^2 a - \gamma(2)\gamma(n+1) \in \mathbb{Q}\gamma(n+3)$. We also have that $a(-1)^n a'(-1)^n\mathbf{1} - \gamma(2)^n$ is congruence to a linear sum of $a(-2n+3)a'(-1)^2 a$ and $\gamma(2n)$ modulo $C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma)$, which proves the desired result. ∎

Set $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{a(-i_1)a(-i_2)a, a'(-i_1)a'(-i_2)a', a(-i_3)a', \mathbf{1} \mid i_1, i_2 \leq 5, i_3 \leq 4\}$.

Proposition 9 $M_2(1)^\sigma = C_1(M_2(1)^\sigma) + < \mathcal{S}_1 >_{\mathbb{C}}$. *In particular,* $M_2(1)^\sigma$ *is* C_1*-cofinite.*

[**Proof**] To simplify the notation, set $C_1 = C_1(M_2(1))^\sigma$ in this proof. Suppose that the proposition is false and let

$$u = a(-i_1)\cdots a(-i_h)a'(-j_1)\cdots a'(-j_k)\mathbf{1} \notin C_1 + < \mathcal{S}_1 >_{\mathbb{C}} .$$

We take u such that the number of terms is minimal. By Lemma 4 and 6, we may assume $u = a(-i_1)a(-i_2)a$ or $u = a(-m)a'$. By Lemma 6 and Proposition 7, we obtain $a(-m)a' \in C_1$ for $m \geq 5$. Since C_1 is closed by the 0-th product, we have:

(1) $C_1 \ni (a(-k+1)a')_0(a(-1)a(-1)a) = 3(k-1)a(-k)a(-1)^2\mathbf{1}$ and so

(2) $C_1 \ni (a(-n)a')_0 a(-1)^2 a(-k)\mathbf{1} = 2a(-n-1)a(-k)a + ka(-n-k)a(-1)a$

for $k \geq 6$ and any n. ∎

We next express $\mathcal{O}$ as a $\mathbb{C}[\gamma(2)]$-module. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 10 $120\gamma(7)\mathbf{1} \equiv 8\gamma(2)\gamma(5)\mathbf{1} + \gamma(2)^2\gamma(3)\mathbf{1}$
$60\gamma(8)\mathbf{1} \equiv 6\gamma(2)\gamma(3)^2\mathbf{1} - 13\gamma(2)^2\gamma(4)\mathbf{1}.$

[**Proof**] Since $0 \equiv (a(-1)a(-1)a)_{-2}a'(-1)a'(-1)a'$
$\equiv 3a(-1)^2a(-2)a'(-1)^2a' + 18a(-4)a(-1)a'(-1)a' + 18a(-3)a(-2)a'(-1)a' + 18\gamma(7)$,
we have:

$$a(-1)^2a(-2)a'(-1)^2a' \equiv -6a(-4)a(-1)a'(-1)a' - 6a(-3)a(-2)a'(-1)a' - 6\gamma(7).$$

Using Proposition 7 and the above lemma, we obtain the first congruence expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma(2)^2\gamma(3) \equiv (a(-1)a')_{-1}\{a(-1)a'(-1)a(-2)a'\} + \gamma(2)\{2a(-4)a' + a'(-3)a(-2)\mathbf{1}\}\\
\equiv\ & (a(-1)a'(-1)a(-1)a'(-1)a(-2)a' + a(-3)a'(-1)a(-2)a'\\
&+2a(-4)a(-1)a'(-1)a' + 2a'(-3)a(-1)a(-2)a' + 5\gamma(2)\gamma(5)\\
\equiv\ & -6a(-4)a(-1)a'(-1)a' - 6a(-3)a(-2)a'(-1)a' - 6\gamma(7)\\
&+a(-3)a'(-1)a(-2)a' + 2a(-4)a'(-1)^2a + 2a'(-3)a(-1)a(-2)a' + 5\gamma(2)\gamma(5)\\
\equiv\ & -4a(-4)a(-1)a'(-1)a' - 5a(-3)a(-2)a'(-1)a' - 6\gamma(7)\\
&+2a'(-3)a(-1)a(-2)a' + 5\gamma(2)\gamma(5)\\
\equiv\ & -4\{\tbinom{-4}{0}\gamma(2)\gamma(5) - [4 + \tbinom{-4}{2}]\gamma(7)\} - 5\{3\gamma(2)\gamma(5) - 28\gamma(7)\} - 6\gamma(7)\\
&+2\{\tbinom{-2}{2}\gamma(2)\gamma(5) - [2\tbinom{-4}{2} + 3\tbinom{-2}{4}]\gamma(7)\} + 5\gamma(2)\gamma(5)\\
\equiv\ & 120\gamma(7) - 8\gamma(2)\gamma(5).
\end{aligned}$$

By expanding $0 \equiv (a(-1)a(-1)a)_{-2}a'(-2)a'(-1)a'$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(a(-2)a(-1)a(-1))a'(-2)a'(-1)a'\\
\equiv\ & 4a(-4)a(-1)a'(-2)a' + 4a(-3)a(-2)a'(-2)a' + 4a(-5)a(-1)a'(-1)a'\\
&+4a(-4)a(-2)a'(-1)a' + 2a(-3)a(-3)a'(-1)a' + 8\gamma(8)
\end{aligned}$$

and then we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\gamma(2)\gamma(2)\gamma(4) \equiv -(a(-1)a')_{-1}\{a(-1)a(-2)a'(-2)a' - 16\gamma(6))\}\\
&\equiv -(a(-1)^2a'(-1)^2ba(-2)a'(-2) - a(-3)a(-2)a'(-2)a' - 2a(-4)a(-1)a'(-1)a'(-2)\\
&\quad - a'(-3)a(-1)a(-2)a'(-2) - 2a'(-4)a(-1)a'(-1)a(-2) + 16\gamma(2)\gamma(6)\\
&\equiv 4a(-4)a(-1)a'(-2)a' + 4a(-3)a(-2)a'(-2)a' + 4a(-5)a(-1)a'(-1)a'\\
&\quad + 4a(-4)a(-2)a'(-1)a' + 2a(-3)a(-3)a'(-1)a' + 8\gamma(8)\\
&\quad - a(-3)a'(-1)a(-2)a'(-2) - 2a(-4)a(-1)a'(-1)a'(-2) - a'(-3)a(-1)a(-2)a'(-2)\\
&\quad - 2a'(-4)a(-1)a'(-1)a(-2) + 16\gamma(2)\gamma(6)\\
&\equiv 2a(-3)a(-2)a'(-2)a' + 4a(-5)a(-1)a'(-1)a' + 4a(-4)a(-2)a'(-1)a'\\
&\quad + 2a(-3)a(-3)a'(-1)a' + 16\gamma(2)\gamma(6) + 8\gamma(8)\\
&\equiv 2(180\gamma(8) - 3\gamma(3)\gamma(5)) + 4(\gamma(2)\gamma(6) - 20\gamma(8))\\
&\quad + 4(\gamma(3)\gamma(5) - 64\gamma(8)) + 2(-90\gamma(8) + \gamma(4)\gamma(4)) + 16\gamma(2)\gamma(6) + 8\gamma(8)\\
&\equiv -120\gamma(8) + 12\gamma(2)\gamma(3)^2 - 24\gamma(2)^2\gamma(4).
\end{aligned}$$

∎

By the above lemma, the direct calculation shows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\gamma(4)\gamma(4) \equiv 12\gamma(2)\gamma(6) + 60\gamma(8) \equiv 12\gamma(2)\gamma(3)^2 - 25\gamma(2)^2\gamma(4),\\
&15\gamma(3)\gamma(5) = 60\gamma(2)\gamma(6) + 240\gamma(8) \equiv 54\gamma(2)\gamma(3)^2 - 112\gamma(2)^2\gamma(4),\\
&120\gamma(3)\gamma(4) \equiv 120(7\gamma(7) + 3\gamma(2)\gamma(5)) \equiv 7\gamma(2)^2\gamma(3) + 416\gamma(2)\gamma(5).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{O}^{even}$ has a subring $\mathcal{O}^{even}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}[\gamma(2)]\gamma(2) + \mathbb{Q}[\gamma(2)]\gamma(3)\gamma(3) + \mathbb{Q}[\gamma(2)]\gamma(4)$.

4.5 Elements $a(-1)a(-1)a$

We denote $a(-1)a(-1)a$ and $a'(-1)a'(-1)a'$ by α and β, respectively.

Lemma 11 $\gamma(2)_{-1}\gamma(2)_{-1}\gamma(2) \equiv \alpha_{-1}\beta - 264\gamma(2)_{-1}\gamma(4)\mathbf{1} + 117\gamma(3)_{-1}\gamma(3)$

[**Proof**] From the direct calculation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{-1}\beta \equiv (a(-1)a(-1)a)_{-1}a'(-1)a'(-1)a'\\ &\equiv a(-1)^3a'(-1)^3\mathbf{1} + 18a(-3)a(-1)a'(-1)a' + 9a(-2)a(-2)a'(-1)a' + 18a(-5)a'.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 7, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(2)^3 \equiv\ & (a(-1)a')_{-1}\{a(-1)a'(-1)a(-1)a' + 2\gamma(4)\}\\ \equiv\ & (a(-1)^3a'(-1)^3\mathbf{1} + 2a(-3)a(-1)a'(-1)a' + 2a'(-3)a(-1)a'(-1)a + 2\gamma(2)\gamma(4)\\ \equiv\ & \alpha_{-1}\beta - 14\{\gamma(2)\gamma(4) - 9\gamma(6)\} - 9\{\gamma(3)^2 - 16\gamma(6)\} - 18\gamma(6) + 2\gamma(2)\gamma(4)\\ \equiv\ & \alpha_{-1}\beta - 264\gamma(2)\gamma(4) + 117\gamma(3)^2.\end{aligned}$$

∎

4.6 The action of $\gamma(4)$

In this subsection, we will consider elements modulo $C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma)$ and we abuse $=$ to denote $\equiv$. By §4.4, we have shown that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{even}$ is closed by the -1-product and

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{even} = \mathbb{Q}[\gamma(2)]\gamma(4) + \mathbb{Q}[\gamma(2)]\gamma(3)\gamma(3)$$

is an ideal modulo $C_2(M_2(1)^\sigma$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be an ideal generated by $\alpha_{-1}\beta$. We note $\alpha_{-1}\beta \equiv \gamma(2)^3 + 264\gamma(2)\gamma(4) - 117\gamma(3)^2$. We will see the action of $\gamma(4)$ on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{even}$.

Lemma 12 $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{even}$.

[**Proof**] We already know $\gamma(4)^2 \equiv 6\gamma(2)\gamma(3)^2 - \frac{25}{2}\gamma(2)^2\gamma(4)$. Since $\gamma(3)\gamma(5) \equiv 54\gamma(2)\gamma(3)^2 - 112\gamma(2)^2\gamma(4)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}1800\gamma(4)\gamma(3)^2 \equiv\ & 15\gamma(3)\{7\gamma(2)^2\gamma(3) + 416\gamma(2)\gamma(5)\}\\ \equiv\ & 105\gamma(2)^2\gamma(3)^2 + 416\gamma(2)\{54\gamma(2)\gamma(3)^2 - 112\gamma(2)^2\gamma(4)\}\\ \equiv\ & 22569\gamma(2)^2\gamma(3)^2 - 46592\gamma(2)^3\gamma(4).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the action of $\gamma(4)$ on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{even}$ is expressed by $\gamma(2)^2\begin{pmatrix} \frac{22569}{1800} & \frac{-46592}{1800} \\ 6 & \frac{-25}{2} \end{pmatrix}$. The eigenpolynomial of $1800\gamma(4)$ is $X^2 - 69X - 4608900$ and its discriminant is $3\sqrt{2048929}$, which is not a rational number. Therefore, the action of $\gamma(4)/\gamma(2)^2$ on $\mathbb{Q}\gamma(2)\gamma(4) + \mathbb{Q}\gamma(3)^2$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Furthermore, since

$$\begin{aligned}&(\alpha_{-1}\beta)_{-1}\gamma(4) \equiv (\gamma(2)^3 - 264\gamma(2)\gamma(4) - 117\gamma(3)^2)\gamma(4)\\ &\quad\equiv \gamma(2)^3\gamma(4) - 264\gamma(2)\{6\gamma(2)\gamma(3)^2 - \tfrac{25}{2}\gamma(2)^2\gamma(4)\}\\ &\qquad - 117\gamma(3)\{\tfrac{7}{120}\gamma(2)^2\gamma(3) + \tfrac{416}{120}\gamma(2)\gamma(5)\}\\ &\quad\equiv 3301\gamma(2)^3\gamma(4) - \{1584 + \tfrac{273}{40}\}\gamma(2)\gamma(3)^2 - \tfrac{39\times52}{5}\gamma(2)\{\tfrac{54}{15}\gamma(2)\gamma(3)^2 - \tfrac{112}{15}\gamma(2)^2\gamma(4)\}\\ &\quad\equiv (3301 + \tfrac{13\times52\times112}{25})\gamma(2)^2\gamma(4) - \{1584 + \tfrac{273}{40}, + \tfrac{39\times52\times18}{25}\}\gamma(2)^2\gamma(3)^2,\end{aligned}$$

we have $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{even} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{even} \neq 0$ and so

$$(< \alpha_{-1}\beta, \gamma(4)\alpha_{-1}\beta, \gamma(4)^2\alpha_{-1}\beta >_{\mathbb{Q}})_n = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{even})_n \qquad \text{for } n \geq 14. \quad \blacksquare$$

4.7 Nilpotency of α modulo $C_2(V_L^\sigma)V_L$

From now on, $\equiv$ denotes the congruence modulo $C_2(V_L^\sigma)V_L$. We next show that

Lemma 13 *$(a(-i_1)a(-i_2)a)_{-1}$ and $(a'(-j_1)a'(-j_2)a')_{-1}$ are all nilpotent in $M_2(1)^\sigma/(C_2(V_L^\sigma) \cap M_2(1))$ for any i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2.*

[**Proof**] Except α and β, the square of the remainings are zero by Proposition 5. We will prove that α_{-1} is nilpotent. Since $\mathrm{wt}(e^x) = 9M$, $\mathrm{wt}(e^{x-y}) = 27M$ and $\mathrm{wt}(e^{2x+y}) = 27M$ for $y = \sigma(x)$, we have $e^y_{-1-k}e^{-x} = e^{-x-y}_{-1-k}e^x = 0$ for $k < 9M$ and so

$$E^x_{-1-k}E^{-x} = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \sigma^i(E^x_{-1-k}e^{-x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \sigma^i(e^x_{-1-k}e^{-x}) \in M_2(1)^\sigma \cap C_2(V_L^\sigma) \quad \text{for } 1 < k < 9M,$$

where E^x denotes $e^x + e^y + e^{-x-y}$. Multiplying $(\alpha_{-1})^{6M+9}$ to $E^x_{-4}e^{-x}$, the number of a-terms in $(\alpha_{-1})^{6M+9}E^x_{-4}e^{-x}$ is 6 more than that of a'-terms and so all elements with weight loss vanished. Hence

$$(\alpha_{-1})^{6M+9}E^x_{-4}e^{-x} \equiv \frac{1}{(18M+3)!}(\alpha_{-1})^{6M+9}(x(-1))^{18M+3}\mathbf{1} \in C_2(V_L^\sigma).$$

Set $x = ra + sa'$, then since we multiply many $a(-1)$, $(\alpha_{-1})^{6M+9+k}$ annihilates all elements except for $a(-1)$ and $a'(-1)$ by Proposition 5 and so we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha_{-1})^{6M+9}(x(-1))^{18M+3}\mathbf{1} &\equiv a(-1)^{18M+27}(ra(-1) + sa'(-1))^{18M+3}\mathbf{1} \\
&\equiv \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{18M+3} \binom{18M+3}{i} r^{18M+3-i}s^i a(-1)^{36M+30-i}\gamma(2)^i \\
&\equiv \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{6M+1} \binom{18M+3}{3i} r^{18M+3-3i}s^{3i}(\alpha_{-1})^{12M+10-i}\gamma(2)^{3i} \\
&\quad + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{6M} \binom{18M+3}{3i+1} r^{18M+2-3i}s^{3i+1}(\alpha_{-1})^{12M+9-i}a(-1)a(-1)\gamma(2)^{3i+1} \\
&\quad + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{6M} \binom{18M+3}{3i+2} r^{18M+1-3i}s^{3i+2}(\alpha_{-1})^{12M+9-i}a(-1)\gamma(2)^{3i+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, since we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha_{-1})^{6M+9}E^x_{-4}a(-1)e^{-x} &= \alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}(x(-1))^{18M+3}a(-1)\mathbf{1} + \alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}\langle a, x\rangle(x(-1))^{18M+4}\mathbf{1} \\
&= \alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}(x(-1))^{18M+3}a(-1)\mathbf{1} + \alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}\langle a, x\rangle E^x_{-5}e^{-x} \\
&\equiv \alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}(x(-1))^{18M+3}a(-1)\mathbf{1} \\
&\equiv \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{6M+1} \binom{18M+3}{3i} r^{18M+3-3i}s^{3i}\alpha_{-1}^{12M+10-i}a(-1)\gamma(2)^{3i} \\
&\quad + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{6M} \binom{18M+3}{3i+1} r^{18M+2-3i}s^{3i+1}\alpha_{-1}^{12M+9-i+1}\gamma(2)^{3i+1} \\
&\quad + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{6M} \binom{18M+3}{3i+2} r^{18M+1-3i}s^{3i+2}\alpha_{-1}^{12M+9-i}a(-1)^2\gamma(2)^{3i+2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}E^x_{-4}a(-1)^2e^{-x} &= \alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}(x(-1))^{18M+3}a(-1)^2\mathbf{1} + 2\langle a, x\rangle\alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}(x(-1))^{18M+4}a \\
&\quad + 2\langle a, x\rangle^2\alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}(x(-1))^{18M+5}\mathbf{1}, \\
&\equiv \alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}(x(-1))^{18M+3}a(-1)^2\mathbf{1} \\
&\equiv \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{6M+1} \binom{18M+3}{3i} r^{18M+3-3i}s^{3i}\alpha_{-1}^{12M+10-i}a(-1)^2\gamma(2)^{3i} \\
&\quad + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{6M} \binom{18M+3}{3i+1} r^{18M+2-3i}s^{3i+1}\alpha_{-1}^{12M+9-i+1}a(-1)\gamma(2)^{3i+1} \\
&\quad + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{6M} \binom{18M+3}{3i+2} r^{18M+1-3i}s^{3i+2}\alpha_{-1}^{12M+9-i+1}\gamma(2)^{3i+2},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$a(-1)\alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}(x(-1))^{18M+3}\mathbf{1} \in C_2(V_L^\sigma)V_L,$$
$$a'(-1)\alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}(x(-1))^{18M+3}\mathbf{1} \in C_2(V_L^\sigma)V_L \quad \text{and}$$
$$a(-1)a(-1)\alpha_{-1}^{6M+9}(x(-1))^{18M+3}\mathbf{1} \in C_2(V_L^\sigma)V_L.$$

Hence

$$\alpha_{-1}^{6M+9+k}a(-1)^e a'(-1)^k(x(-1))^{18M+3}\mathbf{1}$$

is a linear sum of elements of the form

$$\alpha_{-1}^{6M+9+k}v_{-1}(u\cdot(x(-1))^{18M+3}\mathbf{1}),$$

where v is a σ-invariant element and $u \in \{\mathbf{1}_{-1}, a(-1), a(-1)a(-1)\}$ by Lemma 4. Therefore we obtain

$$\alpha_{-1}^{6M+9+k}a(-1)^e a'(-1)^k(x(-1))^{18M+3}\mathbf{1} \in C_2(V_L^\sigma)V_L$$

for any $e, k \geq 0$. We also get a similar result for $y = \sigma(x)$ as for x. Therefore we have:

$$\alpha_{-1}^{12M+18}(\lambda x(-1) + \mu y(-1))^{36M+6}\mathbf{1} \in C_2(V_L^\sigma)V_L$$

for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. By choosing suitable λ and μ so that $\lambda x(-1) + \mu y(-1) = a(-1)$, we have

$$\alpha_{-1}^{12M+18}a(-1)^{36M+6}\mathbf{1} = \alpha_{-1}^{48M+24}\mathbf{1} \in C_2(V_L^\sigma),$$

which implies that α_{-1} is nilpotent modulo $C_2(V_L^\sigma)$. Similarly, β_{-1} is nilpotent. ∎

Since α, β are nilpotent and $\mathcal{O}^{even} = \mathcal{O}^{even}\alpha_{-1}\beta$, we have the following:

Proposition 14 $\dim\left(M_2(1)^\sigma/(M_2(1)^\sigma \cap C_2(V_L^\sigma))\right) < \infty$.

4.8 C_2-cofiniteness of V_L^σ

By the previous proposition, there is an integer N_0 such that $v_{-1}^1 \cdots v_{-1}^k\gamma \in C_2(V_L^\sigma)$ for any $v^i \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and $\gamma \in V_L^\sigma$ if $\mathrm{wt}(v_{-1}^1 \cdots v_{-1}^k\mathbf{1}) \geq N_0$. Set $N = N_0 + 9M + 30$.

Our final step is to prove that

$$V_L^\sigma = C_2(V_L^\sigma) + \oplus_{n\leq N}(M_2(1))_n^\sigma + \oplus_{n\leq N}(M_2(1)E^x)_n^\sigma + \oplus_{n\leq N}(M_2(1)E^{-x})_n^\sigma,$$

which implies the C_2-cofiniteness of V_L^σ. For $\mu \neq 0$, set

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ d_{i_k}^k \cdots d_{i_1}^1 b_{i_0}a(r)a'(0)E^\mu \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l}\text{(i) } i_k \leq, \ldots, \leq i_1 \leq -1,\ i_0 \leq 0, \text{ and} \\ \text{(ii) } d^i \in \mathcal{S}_1,\ \mathrm{wt}(b_{i_0}a(r)a'(0)E^\mu) - \mathrm{wt}(E^\mu) \leq 30\end{array} \right\}.$$

Proposition 15 *$(M_2(1)E^\mu)^\sigma =< \mathcal{R} >_{\mathbb{C}} + C_2(V_L^\sigma)$. In particular, if $v \in (M_2(1)E^\mu)^\sigma$ has a weight greater than $\mathrm{wt}(E^\mu) + N_0 + 30$, then $v \in C_2(V_L^\sigma)$.*

[**Proof**] Suppose false and we take $u \notin < \mathcal{R} >_{\mathbb{C}} + C_2(V_L^\sigma)$ such that $\mathrm{wt}(u)$ is minimal. Since $M_2(1)E^\mu$ is an irreducible $M_2(1)^\sigma$-module, we may assume

$$u = c_{i_k}^k \cdots c_{i_1}^1 E^\mu$$

with $c^i \in M_2(1)^\sigma$. We take the above expression such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \mathrm{wt}(c^i)$ is minimal and if $\sum_{i=1}^k \mathrm{wt}(c^i)$ is the same, then k is maximal. Since $(e_{-1}f)_k = \sum_{i=0}^\infty (e_{-1-i}f_{k+i} + f_{k-1-i}e_i)$ and $\mathrm{wt}(e_{-1}f) = \mathrm{wt}(e) + \mathrm{wt}(f)$, we may assume $c^i \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Also, since $e_s f_t - f_t e_s = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \binom{s}{i}(e_i f)_{s+t-i}$ and $\mathrm{wt}(e_i f) < \mathrm{wt}(e) + \mathrm{wt}(f)$ for $i \geq 0$, we may assume $i_k \leq \cdots \leq i_1$. By the minimality of $\mathrm{wt}(u)$, we have $0 \leq i_k$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \mathrm{wt}(c^i) = (\mathrm{wt}(u) - \mathrm{wt}(E^\mu)) + \sum_{i=1}^k (1 + i_j).$$

To simplify the notation, we will call $\sum_{i=1}^k (1+i_j)$ σ-loss weight. Since $\mathrm{wt}(E^\mu)$ and $\mathrm{wt}(u)$ are fixed, we have chosen $u = c^k_{i_k} \cdots c^1_{i_1} E^\mu$ such that the σ-loss weight is minimal. We note that $\mathrm{wt}(c^i) \leq 11$ for $c^i \in \mathcal{S}_1$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4, u is also a linear sum of elements of the form

$$e^r_{-1} \cdots e^1_{-1} F,$$

where $e^i \in M_2(1)^\sigma$ and F is one of

$$\mathcal{D} = \{a(-m-n)a'(0)E^\mu, a(-m)a(-n)a(0)E^\mu, a'(-m)a'(-n)a'(0)E^\mu\}.$$

By the minimality of $\mathrm{wt}(u)$, u is a linear sum of elements in $\mathcal{D}$ and $m+n+\mathrm{wt}(E^\mu) = \mathrm{wt}(u)$. We assert that the σ-loss weight of u is less than or equal to three. For the elements $a(-m-n)a'(0)E^\mu$, we get $a(-m-n)a'(0)E^\mu = (a'(-m-n-1)a)_1 E^\mu$, which has only σ-loss weight two. Before we start the proof for the remaining case, we note

$$\begin{aligned}(a'(-m-1)a)_1(a'(-n-1)a)_1E^\mu &= (a'(-m-1)a)_1 a(-n)a'(0)E^\mu \\ &= \textstyle\sum \binom{-m-1}{i}(-1)^i(-1)^m a(-m-i)a'(i)a(-n)a'(0)E^\mu \\ &= \textstyle\binom{-m-1}{n}(-1)^{n+m} n a(-m-1-n)a'(0)E^\mu + (-1)^m a(-m)a'(0)a(-n)a'(0)E^\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $a(-m)a(-n)a(0)E^\mu$ has a σ-loss weight greater than three. By ignoring elements with σ-loss weight less than three, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tfrac{\langle b,\mu\rangle^2}{\langle a,\mu\rangle} a(-m)a(-n)a(0)E^\mu = a(-m)a'(0)a(-n)a'(0)E^\mu \\ &\quad\equiv (a'(-m-1)a)_1(a'(-n-1)a)_1E^\mu \\ &\quad= (a'(-m-1)a)_1(a(-n-1)a')_1E^\mu + (a'(-m-1)a)_1(\omega_0\gamma(n+1)+\cdots))_1E^\mu \\ &\quad\equiv (a'(-m-1)a)_1(a(-n-1)a')_1E^\mu + (\omega_0\gamma(n+1))_1(a'(-m-1)a)_1E^\mu \\ &\quad\equiv (a'(-m-1)a)_1 a'(-n)a(0)E^\mu - \gamma(n+1)_0(a'(-m-1)a)_1E^\mu \\ &\quad\equiv \textstyle\sum \binom{-m-1}{i}(-1)^i\{a'(-m-1-i)a(1+i) - (-1)^{m+1}a(-m-i)a'(i)\}a'(-n)a(0)E^\mu \\ &\quad\equiv \textstyle\binom{-m-1}{n-1}(-1)^{n-1} n a'(-m-n)a(0)E^\mu - (-1)^{m+1}a(-m)a'(0)a'(-n)a(0)E^\mu \\ &\quad\equiv \lambda_1 a(-m-n)a'(0)E^\mu + \mu_1 a(-m)a'(-n)E^\mu \\ &\quad\equiv \lambda_2 a(-m-n)a'(0)E^\mu + \mu_2 a'(-m-n)a(0)E^\mu \equiv 0\end{aligned}$$

for some λ_i and μ_j, which is a contradiction. Therefore, the σ-loss weight of u is less than or equal to three. In particular, $k \leq 3$ and $\mathrm{wt}(u) - E^\mu \leq 30$. Therefore, the elements $a(-m-n)a'(0)E^\mu$ and $\gamma(n+1)_0(a'(-m-1)a)_1E^\mu$ are all in $< \mathcal{R} >_{\mathbb{C}} + C_2(V_L^\sigma)$. In order to show $a(-m)a(-n)a(0)E^\mu \in < \mathcal{R} >_{\mathbb{C}} + C_2(V_L^\sigma)$, we have exactly the same congruence expressions as above modulo $< \mathcal{R} >_{\mathbb{C}} + C_2(V_L^\sigma)$. ∎

Set $K = M_2(1)^\sigma + (M_2(1)E^x)^\sigma + (M_2(1)E^{-x})^\sigma$. Since we have already shown that if $v \in K$ and $\mathrm{wt}(v) > N$, then $v \in C_2(V_L^\sigma)$. The remaining is to show

$$V_L^\sigma = K + C_2(V_L^\sigma).$$

By Proposition 15, it is enough to show that

$$a(-n)a'(0)E^\mu \in K + C_2(V_L^\sigma)$$

for $1 \le n \le 30$ and $\mu \notin \{0, \pm x, \pm y, \pm(x+y)\}$. We first treat the following case:

Lemma 16 *For any $n + m \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, we have $E^{mx+ny} \in C_2(V_L^\sigma) + K$.*

[**Proof**] We note that if $n+m \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, then there is $\gamma \in L$ such that $E^{mx+ny} = E^{\pm(\sigma(\gamma)-\gamma)}$. Set $2k = \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle$. Then since $\langle \gamma - \sigma(\gamma), \gamma - \sigma(\gamma) \rangle = 6k$, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} E^\gamma_{-1-k}E^{-\gamma} \in M_2(1) + E^{\sigma(\gamma)-\gamma} + E^{-\sigma(\gamma)+\gamma}, \\ E^\gamma_{-k}a(-1)e^{-\gamma} \in M_2(1) + \langle \sigma(\gamma), a\rangle e^{\sigma(\gamma)-\gamma} + \langle \sigma^2(\gamma), a\rangle e^{-\sigma(\gamma)+\gamma}, \quad \text{and} \\ E^\gamma_{-k}\sum_{i=0}^2 \sigma^i(a(-1)e^{-\gamma}) \in M_2(1) + \langle \sigma(\gamma), a\rangle E^{\sigma(\gamma)-\gamma} + \langle \sigma^2(\gamma), a\rangle E^{-\sigma(\gamma)+\gamma}. \end{array}$$

Therefore, we obtain $E^{\sigma(\gamma)-\gamma}, E^{-\sigma(\gamma)+\gamma} \in C_2(V_L^\sigma) + M_2(1)$. ∎

For E^μ with $\mu = mx + ny$ and $m + n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 3$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 17 *(1) For m, n with $m + n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, there are $\gamma \in L$ satisfying $\gamma - \sigma^i(\gamma - \mu) = mx + ny$ for some $i = 1, 2$ and $\mu \in \{x, y, -x - y\}$ such that $\langle \gamma, -\sigma^1(\gamma - \mu)\rangle$ and $\langle \gamma, -\sigma^2(\gamma - \mu)\rangle$ are both positive.*
(2) For m, n with $m + n \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, there are $\gamma \in L$, $i = 1, 2$, $\mu \in \{-x, -y, +x + y\}$ such that $\gamma - \sigma^i(\gamma - \mu) = mx + ny$, $\langle \gamma, -\sigma^1(\gamma - \mu)\rangle > 0$ and $\langle \gamma, -\sigma^2(\gamma - \mu)\rangle > 0$.

[**Proof**] We first note that for $\gamma = px + qy$ and $-\gamma - x - y$, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle \sigma(\gamma), -\gamma - x - y\rangle = p^2 + q^2 - pq + 2p - q = (q - \frac{p+1}{2})^2 + \frac{3}{4}(p+1)^2 - 1 \\ \langle \sigma^2(\gamma), -\gamma - x - y\rangle = p^2 + q^2 - pq + 2q - p = (p - \frac{q+1}{2})^2 + \frac{3}{4}(q+1)^2 - 1, \end{array}$$

and so the both are positive except $-2 \le p, q \le 1$. For $\mu = mx + ny$ with $m + n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, we may assume $m, n \le 0$ by taking a conjugate by $< \sigma >$. If $\mu = mx + ny \notin \{x, y, -x - y, -2y\}$, then by setting $\gamma = px + qy$ with $q = \frac{-m-n+1}{3}$ and $p = \frac{n-2m+2}{3}$, we obtain $\sigma(\gamma) - \gamma - x - y = \mu$ and $\langle \sigma(\gamma), -\gamma - x - y\rangle$ and $\langle \sigma^2(\gamma), -\gamma - x - y\rangle$ are positive. In the case $\mu = -2y$, we choose $q = \frac{-m-n+1}{3}$ and $p = \frac{-2n+m+2}{3}$, then we have $\sigma^2(\gamma) - \gamma - x - y = \mu$ and $\langle \sigma^1(\gamma), -\gamma - x - y\rangle$ and $\langle \sigma^2(\gamma), -\gamma - x - y\rangle$ are positive.
(2) comes from (1) by replacing x and y by $-x$ and $-y$, respectively. ∎

By the above lemmas, for any μ, there are γ, γ' and k such that

$$E^\gamma_{-2-k}e^{-\gamma'} \in e^\mu + e^{\mu'} + M_2(1)e^{\pm x} \quad \text{and so} \quad E^\gamma_{-2-k}E^{-\gamma'} \in E^\mu + E^{\mu'} + M_2(1)E^{\pm x}.$$

We also have

$$E^{\gamma}_{-2-k+1}\sum_{i=0}^{2}\sigma^i(a(-1)e^{-\gamma'}) \in \langle a,\gamma\rangle E^{\mu} + \langle a,\sigma(\gamma)\rangle E^{\mu'} + M_2(1)E^{\pm x},$$

which implies $E^{\mu}, E^{\mu'} \in M_2(1)E^{\pm x} + C_2(V_L^{\sigma})$ for any μ. The remaining is to show $a(-n)a'(0)E^{\mu} \in M_2(1)E^{\pm x} + C_2(V_L^{\sigma})$ for $n \leq 30$. Actually, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&E^{\gamma}_{-2-k+1+n}a(-n)a(-n)e^{-\gamma'}\\
&\quad\in 2n\langle a,\gamma\rangle a(-n)e^{\mu} + 2n\langle a,\sigma(\gamma)\rangle a(-n)e^{\mu'} + E^{\gamma}_{-2-k+1}e^{-\gamma'} + M_2(1)e^{\pm x} \qquad \text{and}\\
&E^{\gamma}_{-2-k+1+2n}a(-n)a(-n)a(-n)e^{-\gamma'}\\
&\quad\in 6n^2\langle a,\gamma\rangle a(-n)e^{\mu} + 6n^2\langle a,\sigma(\gamma)\rangle a(-n)e^{\mu'} + E^{\gamma}_{-2-k+1}e^{-\gamma'} + M_2(1)e^{\pm x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&a(-n)e^{\mu}, a(-n)e^{\mu'} \in C_2(V_L^{\sigma})V_L + M_2(1)e^{\pm x} \qquad \text{and so}\\
&a(-n)a'(0)E^{\mu}, a(-n)a'(0)E^{\mu'} \in C_2(V_L^{\sigma}) + M_2(1)E^{\pm x}
\end{aligned}$$

for $n \leq 30$. This completes the proof of Theorem B.

5 $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction

Using the known results in §2 and Theorem A and B, we will show $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold constructions. Let Λ be a positive definite even unimodular lattice of rank N with a triality automorphism σ. We note $8|N$. In this section, ξ denotes $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3}$.

Since Λ is unimodular, a lattice VOA V_Λ has exactly one simple module V_Λ and all modules are completely reducible ([5]). By [7], it has one σ-twisted module $V_\Lambda(\sigma)$ and one σ^2-twisted module $V_\Lambda(\sigma^2)$. Decompose them as direct sums of simple V_Λ^{σ}-modules:

$$V_\Lambda = W^0 \oplus W^1 \oplus W^2, \quad V_\Lambda(\sigma) = W^3 \oplus W^4 \oplus W^5, \quad V_\Lambda(\sigma^2) = W^6 \oplus W^7 \oplus W^8.$$

We first show that the weights of elements in $V_\Lambda, V(\sigma)$ and $V(\sigma^2)$ are in $\mathbb{Z}/3$. Set $H = \Lambda^{\sigma}$ and $H' = \{u \in \mathbb{Q}H \mid \langle u,h\rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } h \in H\}$ the dual of H. Set $s = \mathrm{rank}(H)$, then from the assumption $t = (N-s)/2$ is divisible by three. As it is well known, the character $T_{V_H}(\mathbf{1};\tau)$ of V_H is $\frac{\theta_H(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^s}$, where $\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)$ is the Dedekind eta-function. Since Λ is unimodular, $3H' \subseteq H$ and the restriction of Λ into $\mathbb{Q}H$ covers H'/H and so the weights of elements in V_H-modules are in $\mathbb{Z}/3$. Hence the powers of q in the character of simple V_H-modules are all in $-s/24 + \mathbb{Z}/3$ and so are those of q in $T_{V_H}(\mathbf{1};-1/\tau)$ by Zhu's theory (§2.3.1). Since

$$T_{V_\Lambda}(\sigma,\mathbf{1};\tau) = q^{-N/24}\frac{\theta_H(\tau)}{\prod_n(1-q^n)^s} \times \frac{1}{\prod_n(1-\xi q^n)^t(1-\xi^{-1}q^n)^t} = T_{V_H}(1,\mathbf{1};\tau)\frac{\eta(\tau)^t}{\eta(3\tau)^t}$$

and $T_{V(\sigma)}(1,\mathbf{1};\tau)$ is a scalar times of

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{V_\Lambda}(\sigma,\mathbf{1};-1/\tau) &= T_{V_H}(1,\mathbf{1};-1/\tau)\tfrac{\eta(-1/\tau)^t}{\eta(-3/\tau)^t} = T_{V_H}(1,\mathbf{1};-1/\tau)\tfrac{(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{-1}})^{t/2}\eta(\tau)^t}{(\frac{\tau}{3\sqrt{-1}})^{t/2}\eta(\tau/3)^t}\\
&= 3^{t/2}T_{V_H}(1,\mathbf{1};-1/\tau)\tfrac{\eta(\tau)^t}{\eta(\tau/3)^t}\\
&= 3^{t/2}q^{-2t/24}T_{V_H}(1,\mathbf{1};-1/\tau)q^{t/9}\tfrac{\prod_n(1-q^n)^t}{\prod_n(1-q^{n/3})^t},
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

we have that the powers of q in $T_{V(\sigma)}(1,\mathbf{1};\tau)$ are in $-N/24+\mathbb{Z}/3$. Therefore, we may assume that the weights of elements in W^i are in $i/3+\mathbb{Z}$ for $i\geq 3$. In particular, all elements in

$$\widetilde{V}=W^0\oplus W^3\oplus W^6$$

have integer weights. Our next aim is to show that $\widetilde{V}$ has a structure of a vertex operator algebra. By Theorem B, V_Λ^σ is C_2-cofinite and all modules are completely reducible and V_Λ^σ has exactly nine simple modules $\{W^i \mid 0\leq i\leq 8\}$.

Lemma 18 *W^i are all simple currents, that is, $W^i\boxtimes W^j$ are simple modules for any i,j. Moreover, $\widetilde{V}$ is closed by the fusion products.*

[**Proof**] Let determine the entries of the S-matrix (s_{ij}) of V_L^σ. Decompose S into $S=(A_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,3}$ with 3×3-matrices A_{ij}. Since S is symmetric, $A_{ij}={}^tA_{ji}$. Simplify the notation, we denote $T_{W^i}(v;\tau)$ by $W^i(\tau)$. As we explained in §2.3.2, there are $\lambda_i\in\mathbb{C}$ $(i=0,1,2)$ such that the S-transformation shifts

$$W^0(\tau)+\xi^iW^1(\tau)+\xi^{2i}W^2(\tau)\to\lambda_i(W^{3i}(\tau)+W^{3i+1}(\tau)+W^{3i+2}(\tau)).$$

Namely, the first three columns of S are

$$(A_{11}A_{12}A_{13})=\frac{1}{3}\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_0&\lambda_0&\lambda_0&\lambda_1&\lambda_1&\lambda_1&\lambda_2&\lambda_2&\lambda_2\\ \lambda_0&\lambda_0&\lambda_0&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi\lambda_2&\xi\lambda_2&\xi\lambda_2\\ \lambda_0&\lambda_0&\lambda_0&\xi\lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_2&\xi^2\lambda_2&\xi^2\lambda_2\end{pmatrix}.$$

Since S^2 is a permutation matrix which shifts W to its restricted dual W', we get $\lambda_i^2=1$. We next consider the characters $\mathrm{ch}(W)=T_W(1,\mathbf{1};\tau)$. In this case, since $\mathrm{ch}(W')=\mathrm{ch}(W)$, we have $\mathrm{ch}(W^1)=\mathrm{ch}(W^2)$, $\mathrm{ch}(W^{3+i})$=$\mathrm{ch}(W^{6+i})$ for $i=0,1,2$. Clearly, $\{\mathrm{ch}(W^0),\mathrm{ch}(W^1),\mathrm{ch}(W^3),\mathrm{ch}(W^4),\mathrm{ch}(W^5)\}$ is a linearly independent set. Since (5) has $q^{1/3+\mathbb{Z}}$-parts, $A_{12}+A_{13}\neq 0$ and so $\lambda_1=\lambda_2$. Similarly, since $\mathrm{ch}(W^{3+i})=\mathrm{ch}(W^{6+i})$, we have $A_{22}+A_{23}=A_{32}+A_{33}$. Furthermore, since $A_{33}=A_{22}+A_{23}-{}^tA_{23}$ is symmetric, A_{23} is symmetric and $A_{22}=A_{33}$. As we explained in §2.3.2, there are $\mu_i\in\mathbb{C}$ $(i=1,2)$ such that the S-transformation shifts

$$W^3(\tau)+\xi^iW^4(\tau)+\xi^{2i}W^5(\tau)\to\mu_i(W^{3i}(\tau)+\xi^2W^{3i+1}(\tau)+\xi W^{3i+2}(\tau))\qquad\text{for } i=1,2.$$

From these information and §2.3.2, we know the entries of S:

$$(S_{ij})=\frac{1}{3}\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_0&\lambda_0&\lambda_0&\lambda_1&\lambda_1&\lambda_1&\lambda_1&\lambda_1&\lambda_1\\ \lambda_0&\lambda_0&\lambda_0&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1\\ \lambda_0&\lambda_0&\lambda_0&\xi\lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1\\ \lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1&\mu_1&\xi\mu_1&\xi^2\mu_1&\mu_2&\xi^2\mu_2&\xi\mu_2\\ \lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1&\xi\mu_1&\xi^2\mu_1&\mu_1&\xi^2\mu_2&\xi\mu_2&\mu_2\\ \lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1&\xi^2\mu_1&\mu_1&\xi\mu_1&\xi\mu_2&\mu_2&\xi^2\mu_2\\ \lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1&\mu_2&\xi^2\mu_2&\xi\mu_2&\mu_1&\xi\mu_1&\xi^2\mu_1\\ \lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi^2\mu_2&\xi\mu_2&\mu_2&\xi\mu_1&\xi^2\mu_1&\mu_1\\ \lambda_1&\xi\lambda_1&\xi^2\lambda_1&\xi\mu_2&\mu_2&\xi^2\mu_2&\xi^2\mu_1&\mu_1&\xi\mu_1,\end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda_i^2 = \mu_1\mu_2 = 1$. This implies $\overline{S_{ih}}S_{i'h} = 1$ and so

$$N_{i,i'}^k = \sum_h \frac{S_{ih}S_{i'h}S_{hk'}}{S_{0h}} = \sum_h \frac{S_{hk'}}{S_{0h}}.$$

Therefore, $N_{i,i'}^k \neq 0$ if and only if $k = 0$ and $N_{i,i'}^0 = 1$. Namely, $W^i \boxtimes (W^i)' = V_\Lambda^\sigma$ for every i, If $R \boxtimes W^i$ is not simple, then $(R \boxtimes W^i) \boxtimes (W^i)' \cong R \boxtimes (W^i \boxtimes (W^i)') \cong R$ is not simple. Therefore, W^i are all simple current.

By considering the characters, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{V_\Lambda}(\sigma, \mathbf{1}; \tau) &= T_{V_H}(1, \mathbf{1}; \tau)\tfrac{\eta(\tau)^s}{\eta(3\tau)^s} = \mathrm{ch}(W^0) + \xi\mathrm{ch}(W^1) + \xi^2\mathrm{ch}(W^2) \\
T_{V_\Lambda}(\sigma, \mathbf{1}, -1/\tau) &= \lambda_1\{\mathrm{ch}(W^3) + \mathrm{ch}(W^4) + \mathrm{ch}(W^5)\} \\
T_{V_\Lambda}(\sigma, \mathbf{1}, -1/(\tau+1)) &= e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}N/24}\lambda_1\{\mathrm{ch}(W^3) + \xi\mathrm{ch}(W^4) + \xi^2\mathrm{ch}(W^5)\} \\
T_{V_\Lambda}(\sigma, \mathbf{1}, -1/((-1/\tau)+1)) &= e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}N/24}\lambda_1\mu_1\{\mathrm{ch}(W^3) + \xi^2\mathrm{ch}(W^4) + \xi\mathrm{ch}(W^5)\}
\end{aligned}$$

from the above S-matrix. On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{V_\Lambda}(\sigma, \mathbf{1}, -1/((-1/\tau)+1)) &= T_{V_\Lambda}(\sigma, \mathbf{1}, -1 - \tfrac{1}{\tau-1}) \\
&= e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}N/24}T_{V_\Lambda}(\sigma, \mathbf{1}, -1/(\tau-1)) \\
&= e^{-4\pi\sqrt{-1}N/24}\lambda_1\{\mathrm{ch}(W^3) + \xi^2\mathrm{ch}(W^4) + \xi\mathrm{ch}(W^5)\}
\end{aligned}$$

we have $\mu_1 = e^{-6\pi\sqrt{-1}N/24} = 1$ and $\mu_1 = 1$ since $8|N$. Then the S-matrix implies $\lambda_1 = \lambda_0$ and $W^3 \boxtimes W^3 = W^6$ and $W^3 \boxtimes W^6 = W^0$.

As we showed,

$$\widetilde{V} = W^0 \oplus W^3 \oplus W^6$$

is closed by fusion product intertwining operators. Let Y^i and I^i denote a vertex operator of V_Λ^σ on W^{3i} and a tensor product intertwining operator to define $W^3 \boxtimes W^{3i}$, respectively. We may assume that $I^1(w,z)v = e^{L(-1)z}Y(v,-z)w$ for $v \in W^0$. Set

$$\tilde{Y}(v,z) = \begin{pmatrix} Y^0(v,z) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y^1(v,z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y^2(v,z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{Y}(w,z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I^0(w,z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I^1(w,z) \\ I^2(w,z) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $v \in W^0 = V_L^\sigma$ and $w \in W^3$. By the definition of intertwining operators, $\tilde{Y}(w,z)$ satisfy Commutativity with $\tilde{Y}(v,z)$ for $v \in W^0$. The remaining thing is to prove that $\tilde{Y}(w,z)$ satisfies Commutativity with itself. Since $\dim \mathcal{I}_{W^3,W^3}^{W^6} = 1$, there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$E(\langle w^2, I^2(w,z_1)I^1(u,z_2)v\rangle) = E(\langle w^2, \lambda I^2(u,z_2)I^1(w,z_1)v\rangle)$$

for $w, u \in W^3$, $w^2 \in (W^6)' = W^3$. Clearly, $\lambda = \pm 1$. If $\lambda = -1$, then

$$0 = E(\langle w^2, I^2(w,z_1)I^1(w,z_2)v\rangle)$$

for any $w \in W^3, w^2 \in W^6, v \in W^0$. Since W^3 is simple and $I^1(w,z)v = e^{L(-1)z}Y(v,-z)w$,

$$\{\text{the coefficients in } I^1(w,z_2)v \mid v \in W^0\}$$

spans W^1 by [9] and so $I^2(w, z_1) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have

$$E(\langle w^2, I^2(w, z_1)I^1(u, z_2)v\rangle) = E(\langle w^2, I^2(u, z_2)I^1(w, z_1)v\rangle),$$

which implies the Commutativity of $\tilde{Y}(v, z)$ with itself. Since we already know the action of $W^0 = V_\Lambda^\sigma$, we can define vertex operators of all elements in $\widetilde{V}$ by the normal products, which makes $\widetilde{V}$ a vertex operator algebra. ∎

5.1 The moonshine VOA

Let's apply the above construction to the Leech lattice Λ and a fixed point free automorphism σ of Λ of order three. Then a trace function $T_{V_\Lambda}(\sigma, \mathbf{1}; \tau)$ of σ on V_Λ is

$$q^{-1}(\frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^\infty(1-\xi q^n)})^{12}(\frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^\infty(1-\xi^2 q^n)})^{12} = q^{-1}(\frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^\infty(1+q^n+q^{2n})})^{12} = \frac{\eta(\tau)^{12}}{\eta(3\tau)^{12}}.$$

Hence, a character function of the twisted module $V_\Lambda(\sigma)$ is

$$\mathrm{ch}(V_\Lambda(\sigma)) = \mathrm{ch}(W^3) + \mathrm{ch}(W^4) + \mathrm{ch}(W^5) = T_{V_\Lambda}(\sigma, \mathbf{1}, -1/\tau) = 3^6 q^{-1} q^{4/3} \frac{\prod_{n=1}^\infty (1-q^n)^{12}}{\prod_{n=1}^\infty (1-q^{n/3})^{12}},$$

which implies that W^3 (also W^6) has no elements of weight 1 and $\mathrm{ch}(\widetilde{V}_\Lambda) = J(\tau)$.

By an easy calculation, $\dim W_2^3 = 3^6(12 + 12 + \binom{12}{2}) = 65610$ and so a triality automorphism of $\widetilde{V}$ defined by $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}i/3}$ on W^{3i} for $i = 0, 1, 2$ is corresponding to $3\mathrm{B} \in \mathbb{M}$ if $\widetilde{V} \cong V^\natural$.

5.2 A new VOA No.32 in Schellekens' list

We next start from a Niemeier lattice N of type E_6^4 and a triality automorphism σ which acts on the first entry E_6 as fixed point free and permutes the last three E_6, where we choose $< (0, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2, 0) >$ as a set of glue vectors of N for E_6^4. We note that since E_6 contains a full sublattice A_2^3, E_6 has a fixed point free automorphism of order three. Since $t = 9$, in order to determine the dimension of $(\tilde{V}_N)_1$, it is enough to see the constant term of $q^{6/24}\frac{\Theta_H(-1/\tau)}{\eta(-1/\tau)^6}$. Since the fixed point sublattice H is isomorphic to $\sqrt{3}E_6^*$, we have

$$\Theta_H(\tau) = \frac{1}{3}[\phi_0(\tau)^3 + \frac{1}{4}\{3\phi_0(3\tau) - \phi_0(\tau)\}^3],$$

where $\phi_0(\tau) = \theta_2(2\tau)\theta_2(6\tau) + \theta_3(2\tau)\theta_3(6\tau)$ and $\theta_2(\tau) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{(m+1/2)^2}$ and $\theta_3(\tau) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2}$, see [4]. Applying $\phi_0(-1/\tau) = \frac{\tau}{i\sqrt{3}}\phi_0(\tau/3)$, we have

$$\frac{\Theta_H(-1/\tau)}{\eta(-1/\tau)^6} = \frac{1}{9\sqrt{3}}q^{-1/4} + \cdots$$

and so

$$\dim(\tilde{V}_N)_1 = (6 \times 12)/3 + 6 + 6 \times 12 + 2 \times \{3^{9/2}3^{-5/2}\} = 120.$$

Clearly, from the construction we know that $(\tilde{V}_N)_1$ contains $A_2^3E_{6,3}$ as a subring. Therefore, $\tilde{V}_N$ is a new vertex operator algebra No 32 in the list of Schellekens [20].

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank K. Tanabe for his right questions.

References

[1] R. E. Borcherds, *Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83 (1986), 3068-3071.

[2] G. Buhl, *A spanning set for VOA modules*, J. Alg. 254 (2002), no. 1,125-151.

[3] J. H. Conway and S. P. Norton, *Monstrous moonshine,* Bull. London Math. Soc. 11(1979), 308-339.

[4] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere Packing, Lattices and Groups,* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

[5] C. Dong, *Vertex algebras associated with even lattices*, J. Alg. 160 (1993), 245-65.

[6] L. Dixon, J.A.Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, *Strings on orbifolds II*, Nucl. Phys.B 274, (1986),285-314.

[7] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, *Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized Moonshine*, Comm. Math. Phys. 214 (2000), no.1. 1-56.

[8] C. Dong and G. Mason, *The construction of the moonshine module as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-orbifold*, Contemporary Math. 175 (1994), 37-52.

[9] C. Dong and G. Mason, *On quantum Galois theory*, Duke Math. J. **86** (1997), no.2, 305-321.

[10] C. Dong and K. Nagatomo, *Classification of irreducible modules for the vertex operator algebra $M(1)^+$.* J. Alg. 216 (1999), no. 1, 384-404.

[11] I. B. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky, On Axiomatic Approaches to Vertex Operator Algebras and Modules, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 104, (1993).

[12] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, and A. Meurman, "Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster", Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 134, Academic Press, 1988.

[13] M. Gaberdiel and A. Neitzke, *Rationality, quasirationality, and finite W-algebra*, DAMTP-200-111.

[14] Y.-Z. Huang, *Differential equations and intertwining operators*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 7, (2005), no. 3, 375-400.

[15] Y.-Z. Huang, *Vertex operator algebras and the Verlinde conjecture*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 10 (2008), no. 1, 103-154.

[16] M. Miyamoto, *Modular invariance of vertex operator algebras satisfying C_2-cofiniteness*, Duke Math. J. 122 (2004), no. 1, 51-91.

[17] M. Miyamoto, *Flatness of Tensor Products and Semi-Rigidity for C_2-cofinite Vertex Operator Algebras I*, arXiv:0906.1407, preprint.

[18] M. Miyamoto, *Flatness of Tensor Products and Semi-Rigidity for C_2-cofinite Vertex Operator Algebras II*, arXiv:0909.3665, preprint.

[19] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, *Classical and quantum conformal field theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. 123 (1989), 177-254.

[20] A. N. Schellekens, *On the classification of meromorphic $c = 24$ conformal field theories*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz., 95:2 (1993), 348-360, ArXiv:hep-th/9205072v. (1992).

[21] K Tanabe and H. Yamada, *Representations of a fixed-point subalgebra of a class of lattice vertex operator algebras by an automorphism of order three.* European J. Combin. 30 (2009), no. 3, 725-735.

[22] E. Verlinde, *Fusion rules and modular transformations in 2D conformal field theory*, Nucl. Phys. B300 (1988), 360-376.

[23] G. Yamskulna, *Rationality of the vertex algebra V_L^+ when L is a non-degenerate even lattice of arbitrary rank.* J. Algebra 321 (2009), no. 3, 1005-1015.

[24] Y. Zhu, *Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 237-302.